Key Considerations for Evaluating Concern or Risk

"There are many ways evidence can be explained away." Munro & Fish, Australia Royal Commission

Internal ICMEC draft for training use

This is not an exhaustive list, but a sampling of key considerations when evaluating concerns or risk mitigation. Nothing contained herein should be construed as offering legal advice or guidance. See sources below and EdPortal.ICMEC.org/Risk Assessment for more information.

Areas to consider for institutional risk,	Risk	List all risk factors that apply:	Any protective factors
vulnerability risk, propensity risk, or situational risk	exists		or risk mitigation:
assessment:	(Tick for		(environmental, procedural, or
	each 'yes')		supervisory)
Institutional Situational Risks			
Characteristics of school prevention program			
Policies recently established and/or not fully observed			
Lack of robust enforcement of one-on-one and/or out of program			
contact, lack of multiple reporting options including anonymous			
reporting, resistance to or lack of explicit expectation of reporting			
concerns, lack of or insufficient code of conduct and acceptable use			
policies, lack of or insufficient background and/or reference checks.			
Senior Leadership does not prioritize child protection			
Protection policies not followed or processes are casual or ad hoc,			
deference to institution over local authority, power or status			
imbalances exist between staff, school is excessively achievement or			
outcome oriented.			
Infractions unevenly addressed and/or excused			
Disciplinary consequences are undocumented and/or not followed up,			
school accepts potentially harmful behavior based on individual			
style/personality/culture, allegation response process is not			
established, central record of child protection concerns is not			
maintained. Exceptions made for behavior of high-status adults.		1	
Staff training inadequate, incomplete, not comprehensive			
Poor quality or incomplete child protection training for all staff, lack of			
guidance on conduct and communication expectations, lack of			
awareness of reporting pathways, and mandatory reporter obligations.			
School culture devalues student voice			
Student opinion not sought or respected, needs of staff or parents are			
prioritized, school prestige or reputation is highlighted, competitive			
and/or adversarial atmosphere fostered, students seen as product			
rather than purpose, reports by children are dismissed or given			
minimal attention, emphasis of 'code of silence' or loyalty, attitude of			
'it can't happen here', culture that allows sexual bullying/harassment,			
gender segregation and/or endorsement of hyper-masculinity.			

Vulnerability Risks	Tick for	Risk factors:	Protective or
Characteristics of student	yes		mitigating factors:
Student/s part of vulnerable group	7		
May include: young age, new to country or school, lack of local or			
English language fluency, special learning needs or disability, low socio-			
economic level, identifies or presents as LGBTQ, has family challenges			
(in transition, absentee parent, family violence, weak parental bond),			
poor peer bonds, health challenges including substance abuse.			
Personal characteristics of student/s indicate vulnerability			
Isolated, shy, non-assertive, needy, bullied, previously victimized,			
tendency to wander or become separated, risk taking, oppositional,			
insufficient digital skills, low self-esteem, isolated, approval or			
popularity seeking, exhibits recent behavior changes.			
Credibility issues of student/s			
Credibility issues increase the likelihood of victimization; therefore,			
should be considered as risk factors, NOT used to determine if			
allegations are credible. Any incentive to remain silent, including:			
new to school, oppositional behavior, history of discipline issues, other			
unsubstantiated claims, or other credibility issues, such as victim			
reluctant to get adult in trouble and/or likely to be compliant in abuse,			
be threatened, or have reputational fears.			
*Propensity Risks	Tick for	Risk factors:	Protective or
Characteristics of adult	yes		mitigating factors:
*There is no typical offender. Presence of risk factors does not mean			
person will offend, nor does absence of risk factors indicate lack of risk.			
The state of the s			
Professional risk factors present			
·			
Professional risk factors present			
Professional risk factors present Personal or professional challenges, work with vulnerable students or			
Professional risk factors present Personal or professional challenges, work with vulnerable students or in vulnerable situations (impacted by access and opportunity), weak			
Professional risk factors present Personal or professional challenges, work with vulnerable students or in vulnerable situations (impacted by access and opportunity), weak professional standards/ethics, inexperienced, fosters boundary			
Professional risk factors present Personal or professional challenges, work with vulnerable students or in vulnerable situations (impacted by access and opportunity), weak professional standards/ethics, inexperienced, fosters boundary crossing relationships, not collaborative, 'rules don't apply to me'			
Professional risk factors present Personal or professional challenges, work with vulnerable students or in vulnerable situations (impacted by access and opportunity), weak professional standards/ethics, inexperienced, fosters boundary crossing relationships, not collaborative, 'rules don't apply to me' approach, seen to be above suspicion. Favoritism for student or group.			
Professional risk factors present Personal or professional challenges, work with vulnerable students or in vulnerable situations (impacted by access and opportunity), weak professional standards/ethics, inexperienced, fosters boundary crossing relationships, not collaborative, 'rules don't apply to me' approach, seen to be above suspicion. Favoritism for student or group. Adult acting outside an appropriate role and/or has			
Professional risk factors present Personal or professional challenges, work with vulnerable students or in vulnerable situations (impacted by access and opportunity), weak professional standards/ethics, inexperienced, fosters boundary crossing relationships, not collaborative, 'rules don't apply to me' approach, seen to be above suspicion. Favoritism for student or group. Adult acting outside an appropriate role and/or has disproportionate power over student/s			
Professional risk factors present Personal or professional challenges, work with vulnerable students or in vulnerable situations (impacted by access and opportunity), weak professional standards/ethics, inexperienced, fosters boundary crossing relationships, not collaborative, 'rules don't apply to me' approach, seen to be above suspicion. Favoritism for student or group. Adult acting outside an appropriate role and/or has disproportionate power over student/s Adult seeks solo control over programs. Adult acts beyond expected role without risk assessment/obtaining permission, adult's ability to reward or punish student not mitigated (for example by joint decision			
Professional risk factors present Personal or professional challenges, work with vulnerable students or in vulnerable situations (impacted by access and opportunity), weak professional standards/ethics, inexperienced, fosters boundary crossing relationships, not collaborative, 'rules don't apply to me' approach, seen to be above suspicion. Favoritism for student or group. Adult acting outside an appropriate role and/or has disproportionate power over student/s Adult seeks solo control over programs. Adult acts beyond expected role without risk assessment/obtaining permission, adult's ability to reward or punish student not mitigated (for example by joint decision making, supervision, etc.), inappropriate student attachment evident			
Professional risk factors present Personal or professional challenges, work with vulnerable students or in vulnerable situations (impacted by access and opportunity), weak professional standards/ethics, inexperienced, fosters boundary crossing relationships, not collaborative, 'rules don't apply to me' approach, seen to be above suspicion. Favoritism for student or group. Adult acting outside an appropriate role and/or has disproportionate power over student/s Adult seeks solo control over programs. Adult acts beyond expected role without risk assessment/obtaining permission, adult's ability to reward or punish student not mitigated (for example by joint decision making, supervision, etc.), inappropriate student attachment evident (initiated by adult or student). Rewards risk taking/devises challenges			
Professional risk factors present Personal or professional challenges, work with vulnerable students or in vulnerable situations (impacted by access and opportunity), weak professional standards/ethics, inexperienced, fosters boundary crossing relationships, not collaborative, 'rules don't apply to me' approach, seen to be above suspicion. Favoritism for student or group. Adult acting outside an appropriate role and/or has disproportionate power over student/s Adult seeks solo control over programs. Adult acts beyond expected role without risk assessment/obtaining permission, adult's ability to reward or punish student not mitigated (for example by joint decision making, supervision, etc.), inappropriate student attachment evident (initiated by adult or student). Rewards risk taking/devises challenges involving risk or secrecy. Out of program contact or relationship with			
Professional risk factors present Personal or professional challenges, work with vulnerable students or in vulnerable situations (impacted by access and opportunity), weak professional standards/ethics, inexperienced, fosters boundary crossing relationships, not collaborative, 'rules don't apply to me' approach, seen to be above suspicion. Favoritism for student or group. Adult acting outside an appropriate role and/or has disproportionate power over student/s Adult seeks solo control over programs. Adult acts beyond expected role without risk assessment/obtaining permission, adult's ability to reward or punish student not mitigated (for example by joint decision making, supervision, etc.), inappropriate student attachment evident (initiated by adult or student). Rewards risk taking/devises challenges			

Unable to understand or respond to child protection risks Lack of appreciation of harm, lack of empathy, encourages or allows relationships to extend beyond professional role, resists protections such as hands-off coaching, or consensual touch, admits to attraction to child or use of child pornography (child sexual abuse materials), makes concern a personal rather than professional matter, marginalizes accuser or family, encourages taking sides			
Behavior persistence despite correction or risk mitigation Policies and procedures are disregarded and/or adult considers self an 'exception'. Any prior behavior considered inappropriate should be considered whether resolved or not.			
Pattern of concerning behavior or features of grooming Behavior could be considered a pattern of policy or boundary violations, exceeds scope of expertise or role in relationships, allows or cultivates 'home base' or classroom loitering behavior, emotional congruence with victim or children generally, lack of professional discernment about risks or effects of inappropriate behavior.			
Situational Risks Characteristics of concern	Tick for yes	Risk factors:	Protective or mitigating factors:
Opportunity offered by environment Obstructed visibility, poorly supervised, distant or isolated location, low likelihood of witnesses, likelihood of inattention (crowding or in plain sight for appearance of safety), sexualized environment or erosion of professional boundaries (could include inappropriate images or films with sexual content), travel or unfamiliar surroundings without risk mitigation, spontaneous ride sharing without informing others.	yes		
Opportunity offered by timing Contact manipulated to times of low/no oversight. Transition times,			
out of program contact, before or after school, overnight trip, additional supervision not present, low likelihood of witnesses or likely inattention of bystanders (high traffic times, special events, emergency drills/non-routine or exceptional circumstances).			

Situational Risks	Tick for	Risk factors:	Protective or
Characteristics of communication or access	yes		mitigating factors:
Unprofessional or inappropriate communication Any element of verbal or non-verbal communication was sexual or included innuendo. Presence of quid pro quo or transactional exchange where student 'owes' adult. Degrading or authoritarian content. Tone seeks to establish peer relationship.			
Frequency and timing			
Frequency indicates favoritism. Timing indicates intimacy. Additional examples of inappropriate communication have been identified.			
Expectation of privacy			
Others, including other students, parents and colleagues were excluded from the communication.			
Communication method, timing, tone or content deviates			
from established, accepted methods or platforms			
Communication is identifiably different from that with other students,			
or by other staff members. Content is flirtatious, deeply personal or			
intimate, adult problems are shared, loneliness or other personal			
needs mentioned. Emojis with sexual or romantic connotations used.			

Factors influencing a child protection concern

Make notes about:

- State of mind (angry, curious, defensive, etc.)
- Emotional spikes
- Presence of inconsistencies or weak logic
- Exact language used
- Corroborating details or lack thereof
- Exact language matches (could indicate coaching)
- Possible bystanders, other victims
- Policy violations
- Relationships that extend beyond expected role
- Persistence or inability to understand risks
- Any previous child protection concerns
- Avoid potentially leading questions, and those that convey guilt to victim

Be aware:

- Identify patterns of behavior and all boundary violations
- Victim may be compliant, afraid, or have reputational concerns
- Victim may be coached (to deny, recant, or, less frequently, accuse)
- Questions should reflect limitations of child's developmental age
- Child's account may not be chronological
- Child's account may include sharp sensory detail that may be important
- Child's account may be less detailed about the passage of time
- Witnesses and bystanders may have different perspectives or understanding of situation
- It is always the role of the adult to maintain appropriate boundaries

Sources: https://learning.nspcc.org.uk/research-resources/2015/preventing-child-sexual-abuse-towards-national-strategy/, Understanding Situational Crime Prevention for CSA – Australian Catholic University, Institute of Child Protection Services (acu.edu.au/icps), variety of serious case reviews, Brown, J. and Saied-Tessier, A. (2015) Preventing child sexual abuse: towards a national strategy for England. London: NSPCC, Risk Assessment for Volunteers, Andrew Hall Safeguarding, UK, Ken Lanning https://www.childabuserovalcommission.gov.au/sites/default/files/file-list/Research%20Report%20-%20Risk%20profiles%20for%20institutional%20child%20sexual%20abuse%20-%20Causes.pdf

Considerations for School Response to Concerns of Abuse

Created by International Centre for Missing & Exploited Children based on ITFCP Allegation Protocol and statutory guidance for educational institutions in US and UK

Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5
Concern Arises	School Ensures	School Gathers	Evaluation of	Prevention and
	Safety & Preserves	Information/Evidence	Evidence and	Restorative Actions
	Evidence		Assessment	
Safety of victim and other children:	Risk minimization (first actions):	Considerations for gathering information:	Evaluation of suitability (what to consider when adults accused):	Victim and victim's family needs:
Identification of initial priorities and policy actions including reporting obligations:				
Location of accused and due process obligations:	Viation and standard and	Reconsider reporting obligations (what are considerations?)	Evaluation of ongoing safety in cases of harmful sexual behavior (what to consider when child	Policy reviewed and updated in light of concern:
Initial preservation of crime scene, if applicable:	Victim safety plan decided with victim and family:		accused):	concern:
		Additional reporting may include:	Note: lack of progression of criminal case does not mean victim lied, or incident did not occur.	

Ensures Preserves lence ccused,	School Gathers Information/Evidence	Evaluation of Evidence and	Prevention and Restorative Actions
lence	Information/Evidence	Evidence and	Postorative Actions
			RESCUI ACTIVE ACCIONS
cused.		Assessment	
oon severity of	Gather information:	Communication needs:	Ongoing staff, student and community support:
	Urgent communication needs:	Communication may include:	
esponse	Communication may include:	If reinstatement, consider:	Outreach to external partners and multidisciplinary teams in support of lessons learned and improved relationships:
		If legal action expected or threatened:	Facility and program changes needed in light of concern:
		If allegation determined to be malicious:	Information gathered on accused is retained and shared, if applicable, and based on local laws:
	esponse	Communication may include:	needs: Communication may include: If reinstatement, consider: If legal action expected or threatened:

Considerations for School Response to Concerns of Abuse

Created by International Centre for Missing & Exploited Children based on ITFCP Allegation Protocol and statutory guidance for educational institutions in US and UK

Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5
Concern Arises	School Ensures Safety &	School Gathers	Evaluation of Evidence	Prevention and
	Preserves Evidence	Information/Evidence	and Assessment	Restorative Actions
May be verbal or written	Prevent contamination of possible crime scene, if	Physical evidence secured √ assume digital evidence	Evaluation of suitability (adults accused)	Policies reviewed and updated
All concerns are considered 'formal' complaint	applicable Risk minimization	exists √ turn off devices √ prevent remote access	✓ consider proportionality and patterns✓ consider providing	✓ full review in light of concern or allegation✓ staff training
Concern may or may not have happened on school grounds	 ✓ prevent contact between accused and victim (minimizing victim impact) ✓ Prioritize stopping spread 	✓ NEVER view or share sexual images of children✓ ask for a description of the image	alternatives such as interview with forensic interviewer or psychologist ✓ maintain credibility in	✓ student abuse prevention curriculum evaluated and updated in light of concern ✓ possible ongoing hotline
Concern may be raised by multiple sources ✓ image discovery ✓ rumors/gossip	of sexual images of children √ law enforcement or local reporting obligations	Reconsider reporting obligations ✓ severity, malice, intent to	transparent process ✓ maintain confidentiality of all children and exonerated ✓ See risk assessment tools	publicized for additional victims
 ✓ social media traffic ✓ victim or student disclosure 	fulfilled, if applicable and if child not at risk of further harm by this action	harm and <i>any</i> sexual images of children are aggravating elements	Evaluation of ongoing	Support for victim/s ✓ consult with victim/s and other's affected
✓ staff suspicion or discomfort✓ alumni or another school	✓ Parents or non-offending parent informed if child not at risk of further harm by	✓ consider law, policies, extraterritorialityExternal reporting may	safety program and treatment in cases of harmful sexual behavior	✓ opportunity to share experience with senior leaders, if applicable
✓ parents✓ witness✓ legal claim or law	this action √ accused informed (in manner that preserves evidence, if applicable)	include: ✓ local hotline, cyber tip line, social media apps, child	 ✓ disciplinary action ✓ mediation or restorative practices (depending on severity, only if agreed to 	✓ opportunity to participate in external review or policy review✓ review/withdrawal of
enforcement √ anonymously NEVER view or share sexual	√ documentation and justification of decision making	advocates and/or law enforcement ✓ involved embassies (extraterritoriality)	by victim) ✓ ongoing risk assessment and mitigation	honors given to offender ✓ accountability exhibited by senior leadership
images of children. Disclosure may be partial,	Note: work to maintain trust and cooperation with	✓ employersNote: lack of progression	√ accountability for those needing corrective action	✓ strong corrective action and protection from retaliation
or seek to protect offender False allegations are rare	victim's family and accused	of criminal case does not mean victim lied, or incident did not occur.		✓ Safety planning to prevent contact by offender